

# A note on stability results for scattered data interpolation on Euclidean spheres

Stefan Kunis\*

The present work considers the interpolation of the scattered data on the  $d$ -sphere by spherical polynomials. We prove bounds on the conditioning of the problem which rely only on the separation distance of the sampling nodes and on the degree of polynomials being used. To this end, we establish a packing argument for well separated sampling nodes and construct strongly localized polynomials on spheres. Numerical results illustrate our theoretical findings.

*AMS subject classification:* 41A05, 33C55, 65T50.

*Key words and phrases:* interpolation, localized polynomials, separation distance, zonal functions.

## 1 Introduction

Recently, the least squares approximation and interpolation of scattered data on the sphere has attracted much attention, see e.g. [6, 8]. Central themes in the study of scattered data interpolation/approximation methods are their convergence rates, see e.g. [28] and references therein, and the conditioning of proposed solution schemes. We consider condition numbers of interpolation matrices as in [21, 3, 20, 13], but focus on under-determined *polynomial* interpolation, i.e., interpolation by spherical harmonics [18, 25]. Thus, the interpolant  $f : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  takes the form

$$f(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{l=1}^{N_d} \hat{f}_l Y_l(\boldsymbol{\xi}),$$

where  $Y_l$  denotes a basis for the  $N_d$ -dimensional space of all spherical polynomials up to degree  $N$ . For given data points  $(\boldsymbol{\xi}_j, y_j) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{C}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, M-1$ ,  $M \leq N_d$ , we aim to solve the under-determined interpolation problem  $f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_j) = y_j$ . In matrix-vector notation, we wish to solve

$$\mathbf{Y} \hat{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{y}$$

for the vector  $\hat{\mathbf{f}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_d}$ , where  $Y_{j,l} = Y_l(\boldsymbol{\xi}_j)$  are the entries of the rectangular spherical Fourier matrix  $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N_d}$ . This problem can be further reformulated as weighted

---

\*Chemnitz University of Technology, Department of Mathematics, 09107 Chemnitz, Germany, kunis@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de

minimal norm interpolation problem and standard arguments from linear algebra reveal that it allows for numerically stable solution if the singular values of the Fourier matrix  $\mathbf{Y}$  are bounded away from zero.

We prove explicit bounds for the extremal eigenvalues of the interpolation problem under the simple but nevertheless sharp condition that the polynomial degree is bounded from below by a constant multiple of the inverse separation distance of the sampling nodes. The existence of such an interpolating polynomial has already been established in [22, Theorem 3.1]. The constant in our condition is estimated with respect to the spatial dimension. The proof of our result relies on three ingredients: an improvement of the packing argument [20, Theorem 2.3]; the construction of strongly localized polynomials on the sphere by using a smoothness-decay principle in Fourier analysis, and a simple eigenvalue estimate by the Gershgorin circle theorem. Here, the smoothness-decay principle relates the smoothness of Fourier coefficients to the localization of the associated univariate trigonometric polynomial [16, 11], see [14, 9, 23, 12] for its recent application and generalization.

The outline of this paper is as follows: Section 2 starts by introducing the necessary notation, including the spaces of spherical harmonics. We provide an improvement of the packing estimate [20, Theorem 2.3] in Lemma 2.1. In conjunction with the construction of localized zonal polynomials in Lemma 2.2, we prove in Theorem 2.4 the eigenvalue estimate for the interpolation problem. Section 3 presents numerical experiments, illustrating the eigenvalue estimate. Finally, we give our conclusions and discuss open problems and future work.

## 2 Main results

### 2.1 Prerequisites

The following fundamentals are taken from [18, 27] to keep the paper self contained. Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_d y_d$  denote the usual inner product for  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} = 1\}$  be the unit sphere in the  $d$  dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The canonical orthonormal basis  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  allows the representation

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)^\top = t \mathbf{e}_d + \sqrt{1-t^2} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-2}, t \in [-1, 1],$$

for every  $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  and  $d \geq 2$ . In particular, one obtains the local parameterization

$$\xi_m = \begin{cases} \prod_{n=1}^{d-1} \sin \theta_n, & m = 1, \\ \cos \theta_{m-1} \prod_{n=m}^{d-1} \sin \theta_n, & m = 2, \dots, d-1, \\ \cos \theta_{d-1}, & m = d, \end{cases}$$

of  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  in polar coordinates  $\theta_1 \in [0, 2\pi)$  and  $\theta_2, \dots, \theta_{d-1} \in [0, \pi]$ . The geodesic distance of  $\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  is given by

$$\text{dist}_d(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) = \arccos(\boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}).$$

Using polar coordinates, we denote by  $\theta = \theta_{d-1} = \arccos(\mathbf{e}_d \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \arccos(\xi_d)$  the geodesic distance of  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  to the north pole  $\mathbf{e}_d = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^\top$ .

Moreover, the surface element  $d\mu_d$  of  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  obeys  $d\mu_d = (1 - t^2)^{(d-3)/2} dt d\mu_{d-1}$  and one easily shows that the surface of the sphere is

$$\omega_d = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} d\mu_d(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 2\pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(d/2).$$

Now, let the space of square integrable functions  $f : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be decomposed into the mutual orthogonal spaces  $H_k^d$  of spherical harmonics of degree  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and let  $\{Y_k^n : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : n = 1, \dots, \dim(H_k^d)\}$  denote an orthonormal basis for each of them. The dimension  $\dim(H_k^d)$  obeys

$$\dim(H_k^d) = \begin{cases} \frac{(2k+d-2)\Gamma(k+d-2)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(d-1)}, & k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 1, & k = 0. \end{cases}$$

In the present work, we consider the space  $\Pi_N^d = \bigoplus_{k=0}^N H_k^d$  of spherical polynomials of degree at most  $N$  with dimension  $N_d = \dim(\Pi_N^d) = \sum_{k=0}^N \dim(H_k^d) \sim N^{d-1}$ . For notational convenience, we set  $I_d(N) = \{(k, n) : k = 0, \dots, N; n = 1, \dots, \dim(H_k^d)\}$ , i.e.,  $\{Y_k^n : (k, n) \in I_d(N)\}$  constitutes an orthonormal basis for  $\Pi_N^d$ .

Moreover, let the Gegenbauer polynomials  $C_k^{(d-2)/2} : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by their three term recurrence relation

$$tC_k^{(d-2)/2}(t) = \alpha_k^{(d-2)/2} C_{k+1}^{(d-2)/2}(t) + \left(1 - \alpha_k^{(d-2)/2}\right) C_{k-1}^{(d-2)/2}(t)$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $\alpha_k^{(d-2)/2} = (k+d-2)/(2k+d-2)$ ,  $C_{-1}^{(d-2)/2}(t) = 0$ , and  $C_0^{(d-2)/2}(t) = 1$ . In general the Gegenbauer polynomials are normalized by  $C_k^{(d-2)/2}(1) = 1$  and form an orthogonal basis, i.e.,

$$\int_{-1}^1 C_k^{(d-2)/2}(t) C_l^{(d-2)/2}(t) (1-t^2)^{(d-3)/2} dt = \frac{\omega_d \delta_{k,l}}{\omega_{d-1} \dim(H_k^d)}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\delta_{k,l}$  denotes the Kronecker delta  $\delta_{k,k} = 1$ ,  $\delta_{k,l} = 0$  for  $k \neq l$ . For  $d = 2$  and another normalization the Gegenbauer polynomials simplify to the Chebyshev polynomials  $T_k(t) = \cos(k \arccos t)$ , for  $d = 3$  to the Legendre polynomials  $P_k(t) = \frac{1}{2^k k!} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} (t^2 - 1)^k$ .

The relation of the Gegenbauer polynomials to the spherical harmonics on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  is given by the famous addition theorem

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\dim(H_k^d)} \overline{Y_k^n(\boldsymbol{\eta})} Y_k^n(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \frac{\dim(H_k^d)}{\omega_d} C_k^{(d-2)/2}(\boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}). \quad (2.2)$$

A function on the sphere  $K : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  whose values depend only on the geodesic distance from a center  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  is called zonal. Moreover, we write  $K(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = K_0(\arccos(\boldsymbol{\xi}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}))$  with some  $K_0 : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which might be expanded into Gegenbauer polynomials.

## 2.2 An improved packing argument

For the purpose of interpolation at scattered nodes, the interesting “uniformity measure” of a sampling set  $\mathcal{X} = \{\boldsymbol{\xi}_j \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} : j = 0, \dots, M-1\}$ ,  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ , is the separation distance

$$q_{\mathcal{X}} = \min_{0 \leq j < l < M} \text{dist}_d(\boldsymbol{\xi}_j, \boldsymbol{\xi}_l).$$

The sampling set  $\mathcal{X}$  is called  $q$ -separated for some  $0 < q \leq 2\pi$ , if  $q_{\mathcal{X}} \geq q$ . Following [20, Theorem 2.3], we prove how many  $q$ -separated nodes can be placed in a certain distance to the node  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_0$  which is assumed without loss of generality to be the north pole  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_0 = \mathbf{e}_d = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^\top$ .

For  $0 < q \leq \pi$  and  $0 \leq m < \lfloor \pi q^{-1} \rfloor$ , we define the sets

$$S_{q,m} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} : mq \leq \text{dist}_d(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_0) < (m+1)q \right\}$$

and

$$S_{q, \lfloor \pi q^{-1} \rfloor} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} : \lfloor \pi q^{-1} \rfloor q \leq \text{dist}_d(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_0) \leq \pi \right\}.$$

Their restrictions to the sampling set  $\mathcal{X}$  will be denoted by  $S_{\mathcal{X},q,m} = S_{q,m} \cap \mathcal{X}$ . In contrast to the aforementioned result, the following estimate depends no longer on the particular separation distance.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , and the sampling set  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  be  $q$ -separated for some  $0 < q < \pi$ , then*

$$|S_{\mathcal{X},q,m}| \leq \frac{\pi^{d-2} 5^{d-1}}{2^{d-2}} m^{d-2}.$$

*Proof.* We follow [20, Theorem 2.3] and consider the nodes in  $S_{\mathcal{X},q,m}$ . Every such node together with its surrounding cap of colatitude  $q/2$  is contained in the larger ring  $\tilde{S}_{q,m} = S_{q,m-\frac{1}{2}} \cup S_{q,m+\frac{1}{2}}$  and shares no interior points with other caps, see also Figure 2.1.




Figure 2.1: The set  $S_{\mathcal{X},q,m}$ , the ring  $S_{q,m}$  (dashed), the larger ring  $\tilde{S}_{q,m}$ , and a spherical cap of colatitude  $q/2$  centered at one node for generalized spiral nodes, cf. [26], on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .

Using  $2\theta/\pi \leq \sin \theta$  for  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$ , we estimate the surface of the cap by

$$\int_0^{\frac{q}{2}} \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta \geq \frac{2^{d-2}}{\pi^{d-2}} \int_0^{\frac{q}{2}} \theta^{d-2} d\theta = \frac{q^{d-1}}{2(d-1)\pi^{d-2}}.$$

Moreover, we apply  $\sin \theta \leq \theta$  for  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$  and estimate the surface of the  $m$ -th ring for  $m = 1, \dots, \lfloor \pi q^{-1} \rfloor - 2$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(m-\frac{1}{2})q}^{(m+\frac{3}{2})q} \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta &\leq \frac{((m+\frac{3}{2})q)^{d-1} - ((m-\frac{1}{2})q)^{d-1}}{d-1} \\ &\leq \frac{q^{d-1}m^{d-2}}{d-1} \sum_{r=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{r} \frac{3^r - (-1)^r}{2^r} \\ &\leq \frac{5^{d-1}q^{d-1}m^{d-2}}{2^{d-1}(d-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain for  $m = 1, \dots, \lfloor \pi q^{-1} \rfloor - 2$

$$|S_{\mathcal{X},q,m}| \leq \frac{\int_{(m-\frac{1}{2})q}^{(m+\frac{3}{2})q} \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta}{\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta} \leq \frac{\pi^{d-2}5^{d-1}}{2^{d-2}} m^{d-2}.$$

In conjunction with the estimate

$$\int_{(\lfloor \pi q^{-1} \rfloor - 1)q}^{\pi} \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta = \int_0^{\pi - (\lfloor \pi q^{-1} \rfloor - 1)q} \sin^{d-2} \theta d\theta \leq \frac{2^{d-1}q^{d-1}}{d-1}$$

for the last two rings we obtain the assertion.  $\square$

### 2.3 Localized Chebyshev expansion

The simple fact that a zonal spherical polynomial of degree at most  $N$  is a univariate algebraic polynomial of degree at most  $N$  in the variable  $t = \xi_0 \cdot \xi$  gives rise to the following explicit construction principle for localized polynomials on spheres of arbitrary dimension. We use the smoothness-decay principle in Fourier analysis to construct a localized kernel out of an admissible weight function of certain smoothness.

Let the normalized B-spline of order  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$  be defined by  $g_\beta : [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g_\beta(z) = \beta S_\beta(\beta z + \frac{\beta}{2})$  with the cardinal B-spline given by

$$S_{\beta+1}(z) = \int_{z-1}^z S_\beta(\tau) d\tau, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{N}, \quad S_1(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 < z < 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, let for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  the B-spline kernel  $B_{\beta,N} : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$B_{\beta,N}(t) = \frac{1}{\|g_\beta\|_{1,N}} \sum_{l=0}^N (2 - \delta_{l,0}) g_\beta\left(\frac{l}{2(N+1)}\right) T_l(t), \quad (2.3)$$

with the normalization constant  $\|g_\beta\|_{1,N} = \sum_{l=-N}^N g_\beta(\frac{l}{2(N+1)})$ . In contrast to [20] the order  $\beta$  of the B-spline and the degree  $N$  of the kernel  $B_{\beta,N}$  are independent of each other. The involved localization parameter  $\beta$  will be set to the spatial dimension  $d$  in subsequent considerations.

**Lemma 2.2.** [9, Lemma 4.6] Let  $N, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N \geq \beta - 1$ ,  $\beta \geq 2$ . The B-spline kernel  $B_{\beta, N}$  obeys for  $t \in [-1, 1)$  the localization property

$$|B_{\beta, N}(t)| \leq \frac{(2^\beta - 1) \zeta(\beta) \beta^\beta}{2^{\beta-1} - \zeta(\beta) \pi^{-\beta}} \cdot |(N+1) \arccos(t)|^{-\beta}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\zeta(\beta) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-\beta}$  denotes the Riemann zeta function. Moreover, the B-spline kernel is normalized by  $B_{\beta, N}(1) = 1$ .

We refer the interested reader to [9, Lemma 4.6] for a proof of this lemma and only note in passing that it relies on the Poisson summation formula to relate the smoothness of the coefficients in the Chebyshev expansion with the variation of an appropriate derivative of the B-spline. Of course, the B-spline samples  $g_\beta(\frac{l}{2(N+1)})$  can be replaced by samples of other smooth functions  $g$ , see e.g. [11].

**Remark 2.3.** Recently, localized kernels on the interval, the ball, and the sphere have been constructed out of admissible weight functions  $h$  in [14, 17, 19, 23, 12]. The common argument is that the Gegenbauer expansion

$$K_N(t) = \sum_{k=0}^N h(k/N) C_k^{(d-2)/2}(t)$$

obeys an estimate similar to Lemma 2.2, i.e.,  $|K_N(t)| \leq C_\beta |h^{(\beta-1)}|_V |N \arccos t|^{-\beta}$ , where  $|h^{(\beta-1)}|_V$  denotes the variation of the  $(\beta - 1)$ -th derivative of  $h$ . In contrast to the trigonometric case [16, 11] which is equivalent to our setting  $d = 2$ , the involved constant  $C_\beta$  is hard to access in general. This is mainly due to the subtle relation between the smoothness of the coefficients in the Gegenbauer expansion and derivatives of  $h$ , cf. [14, Lemma 4.4 - 4.6].

## 2.4 Regularity and conditioning of the spherical Fourier matrix

Let  $d, M, N \in \mathbb{N}$  and a set of  $M$  nodes  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  be given. The spherical Fourier matrix of size  $M \times N_d$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{Y} = (Y_k^n(\boldsymbol{\xi}_j))_{0 \leq j < M; (k, n) \in I_d(N)}.$$

We are now ready to prove that a polynomial degree  $N$ , bounded from below by a constant multiple of the inverse of the separation distance, suffices for the full rank of the spherical Fourier matrix  $\mathbf{Y}$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $N, d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N \geq d - 1$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , and a  $q$ -separated sampling set  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  be given. Moreover, let the weights  $w_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, N$ , be given by  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{b}$ , where the entries of  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+1) \times (N+1)}$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$  are

$$G_{k, l} = \omega_{d-1} \int_{-1}^1 C_k^{(d-2)/2}(t) T_l(t) (1-t^2)^{(d-3)/2} dt, \quad b_l = \frac{(2 - \delta_{l,0}) g_d \left( \frac{l}{2(N+1)} \right)}{\|g_d\|_{1, N}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Set up the diagonal matrix  $\hat{\mathbf{W}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_d \times N_d}$  with entries  $\hat{w}_{(k,n),(k,n)} = w_k$  for  $k = 0, \dots, N$  and  $n = 1, \dots, \dim(H_k^d)$ .

Then the entries of the matrix  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Y} \hat{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{Y}^H$ , where  $\mathbf{Y}^H$  denotes the adjoint spherical Fourier matrix, fulfill  $K_{j,l} = B_{d,N}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_l \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_j)$ . For  $N > C_d q^{-1}$  the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{K}$  satisfy

$$|\lambda(\mathbf{K}) - 1| < \left( \frac{C_d}{(N+1)q} \right)^d, \quad C_d = \frac{5\pi d}{2}.$$

In particular, the nonequispaced Fourier matrix  $\mathbf{Y}$  has full rank  $M$  under the above conditions.

*Proof.* We start by computing the entries  $K_{j,l}$  of the matrix  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Y} \hat{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{Y}^H$ . The addition theorem (2.2) yields

$$K_{j,l} = \sum_{k=0}^N \sum_{n=1}^{\dim(H_k^d)} Y_k^n(\boldsymbol{\xi}_j) \overline{w_k Y_k^n(\boldsymbol{\xi}_l)} = \sum_{k=0}^N w_k \frac{\dim(H_k^d)}{\omega_d} C_k^{(d-2)/2}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_l \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_j).$$

Moreover, the B-spline kernel  $B_{d,N}$  is a polynomial of degree  $N$  and thus allows for the expansion, cf. (2.1),

$$B_{d,N}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^N \left( \frac{\omega_{d-1} \dim(H_k^d)}{\omega_d} \int_{-1}^1 B_{d,N}(\tau) C_k^{(d-2)/2}(\tau) (1-\tau^2)^{(d-3)/2} d\tau \right) C_k^{(d-2)/2}(t).$$

Setting  $t = \boldsymbol{\xi}_l \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_j$ , using the definitions of the weights  $w_k$ , and (2.3) indeed shows  $K_{j,l} = B_{d,N}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_l \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_j)$ .

Now we apply Gershgorin's circle theorem to estimate the extremal eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{K}$ . Without loss of generality we assume  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_0 = \mathbf{e}_d = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^\top$ . Hence, we obtain

$$|\lambda(\mathbf{K}) - 1| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} |B_{d,N}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_j)| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \pi q^{-1} \rfloor} |S_{\mathcal{X},q,m}| \max_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in S_{q,m}} |B_{d,N}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi})|.$$

Hence, Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2,  $\min_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in S_{q,m}} \arccos(\boldsymbol{\xi}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}) = mq$ , and  $\zeta(d) \leq \zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$  yield

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda(\mathbf{K}) - 1| &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \pi q^{-1} \rfloor} \frac{\pi^{d-2} 5^{d-1}}{2^{d-2}} m^{d-2} \cdot \frac{(2^d - 1) \zeta(d) d^d}{2^{d-1} - \zeta(d) \pi^{-d}} \cdot ((N+1)mq)^{-d} \\ &< \left( \frac{5\pi d}{2(N+1)q} \right)^d. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude by the simple fact  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{Y}) \geq \text{rank}(\mathbf{Y} \hat{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{Y}^H) = M$ . □

**Remark 2.5.** *The above theorem gives a sufficient condition for unique and stable interpolation by spherical polynomials. A simple example on the unit circle, how localization of the kernel yields a diagonal dominant interpolation matrix is given in [11, Figure 5.1].*

1. *Regarding the necessity the following is known: The condition  $N > C_d q^{-1}$  is optimal with respect to the separation distance  $q$  since, e.g., [10] assures that  $M$  nodes can be distributed on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  with separation distance  $q \geq c_{d,1} M^{-(d-1)}$ . Furthermore, the dimension of  $\Pi_N^d$  fulfills  $N_d \leq c_{d,2} N^{d-1}$  and we thus obtain for  $N < c_{d,1}/(c_{d,2}q)$  the inequality  $N_d < M$ . This contradicts  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{Y}) = M$  for  $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N_d}$ .*
2. *A related stability result for the oversampled case  $N_d < M$  follows from so-called spherical Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities [15, 7]. If the mesh norm*

$$\delta_{\mathcal{X}} = 2 \max_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \min_{j=0, \dots, M-1} \text{dist}_d(\boldsymbol{\xi}_j, \boldsymbol{\xi})$$

*fulfills  $N < \tilde{c}_d \delta_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}$ , then the corresponding Fourier matrix  $\mathbf{Y}$  has full rank  $N_d$ . Note however that the constant  $\tilde{c}_d$  is typically hard to access. In particular the order on which  $\tilde{c}_d$  decreases to zero with increasing space dimension  $d$  is unknown.*

3. *The linear growth of  $C_d$  is not expected to be optimal but has been obtained for trigonometric interpolation and trigonometric Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities in [4, 11] as well.*

**Remark 2.6.** *The precomputation of the weights  $w_k$  in (2.5) is a basis transform from a Chebyshev expansion to the appropriate Gegenbauer expansion. We obtain a sparse factorization of the Gram matrix  $\mathbf{G}$  by using the relation, cf. [1, (22.7.23)],*

$$C_k^{(d-2)/2} = \alpha_d(k) C_k^{d/2} + \beta_d(k) C_{k-2}^{d/2} \quad (2.6)$$

*[ $d/2$ ] - 1 times. Hence, this computation can be done in  $\mathcal{O}(N)$  floating point operations for even dimensions  $d$ . We need one additional fast polynomial transform [2, 5, 24] with complexity  $\mathcal{O}(N \log^2 N)$  to compute Legendre coefficients out of the given Chebyshev coefficients for odd dimensions  $d$ .*

*The weights  $w_k$  in (2.5) are strictly positive for  $d = 2$ , since in this case, the Gram matrix  $\mathbf{G}$  is a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal elements and for  $d = 3$  the positivity is assured by [9, Lemma 4.6]. The same is true for  $d = 4$ : The evaluation of (2.5) yields as a special case of (2.6) that*

$$G_{k,l} = \begin{cases} 2\pi^2, & k = l = 0, \\ \frac{\pi^2}{k+1}, & k = l > 0, \\ -\frac{\pi^2}{k+1}, & k+2 = l \geq 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*In conjunction with the fact that  $g_4(z)$  is strictly decreasing for  $0 \leq z \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , the positivity of the Gegenbauer coefficients  $w_k$  is guaranteed. Conditions for  $d \geq 5$  can be obtained by the iterated application of (2.6) - these conditions are rather technical and ask for the positivity of weighted higher order differences of the functions  $g_d$ .*



### 3 Numerical experiments

In this section, we present two numerical examples using MATLAB in order to confirm our theoretical findings. We start with the introduction of particular node distributions on the spheres. Let  $m, d \in \mathbb{N}$ , we recursively define the following set of interpolation nodes:

$$\theta_m^j = \frac{\pi}{m} + \frac{2\pi j}{m-1}, \quad \mathcal{X}_m^{d-1} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m/2} \{\theta_m^j\} \times \mathcal{X}_{\lfloor m \sin \theta_m^j \rfloor}^{d-2}, \quad \mathcal{X}_m^1 = \frac{\{0, \dots, m-1\}}{2\pi m}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the closest integer to  $x$ . By construction, this sampling set is quasi uniform in the sense that its separation distance fulfills

$$|\mathcal{X}_m^{d-1}|^{-1/(d-1)} \leq c_{1,d} q_{\mathcal{X}_m^{d-1}} \leq c_{2,d} m^{-1}.$$

**Example 3.1.** In this first example we aim to illustrate the estimate for the minimal eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{Y}\hat{\mathbf{W}}\mathbf{Y}^H$  for weights  $\hat{\mathbf{W}}$  obtained from B-spline kernels of order  $\beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , a fixed polynomial degree  $N = 50$ , and spatial dimensions  $d = 3, 4$ . The sampling set is set up by (3.1), where we have chosen  $m = 10, \dots, 90$  for  $d = 3$  and  $m = 5, \dots, 60$  for  $d = 4$ . Figure 3.1 shows the minimal eigenvalue of the interpolation matrix  $\mathbf{Y}\hat{\mathbf{W}}\mathbf{Y}^H$  with respect to the separation distance of the sampling set. Moreover, we included a plot of the estimate in Theorem 2.4 where we changed the proven and non-optimal constant  $C_d = 5\pi d/2$  to  $C_3 = C_4 = 4.5$ .

Clearly, the deviation of the minimal eigenvalue from 1 as the separation distance decreases is captured very good by the made estimate. However note that Theorem 2.4 does not give insights at which rate the minimal eigenvalue approaches zero.

**Example 3.2.** In our second example, we consider the condition number of  $\mathbf{Y}\hat{\mathbf{W}}\mathbf{Y}^H$  for weights  $\hat{\mathbf{W}}$  obtained from B-spline kernels of order  $\beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , a fixed sampling set (3.1) with  $m = 40$  for  $d = 3$  and  $m = 20$  for  $d = 4$ . The polynomial degree is varied as  $N = 20, \dots, 100$  for  $d = 3$  and  $N = 10, \dots, 70$  for  $d = 4$ . Again, we changed the proven and non-optimal constant  $C_d = 5\pi d/2$  to  $C_3 = C_4 = 4.5$  in the plot of the estimated condition number.

We see in Figure 3.2 that the estimate becomes effective if the polynomial degree is chosen large enough and hence the interpolation problem becomes well-conditioned.

### 4 Conclusions and open questions

We proved that arbitrary data at  $q$  separated nodes on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  can be interpolated by spherical polynomials if the degree fulfills  $N \geq 2.5\pi d/q$ . This result is optimal with respect to the separation distance  $q$  but might be sharpened with respect to the spatial dimension  $d$ . We improved a previously established packing argument from [20]. The




Figure 3.1: Minimal eigenvalue of the interpolation matrix  $\mathbf{Y}\hat{\mathbf{W}}\mathbf{Y}^H$  with respect to the separation distance  $q\lambda$  for a fixed polynomial degree  $N = 50$ . The order of the B-spline kernel and hence the localization order is  $\beta = 1$  ( $\cdot$ ),  $\beta = 2$  ( $\times$ ),  $\beta = 3$  ( $\circ$ ), and  $\beta = 4$  ( $+$ ). Moreover, we plotted the estimate  $1 - (\frac{C_d}{(N+1)q})^d$  (solid) and additionally the minimal eigenvalue for  $\beta = d$  (dashed).


construction of localized polynomials for the proof of the eigenvalue estimate uses techniques from [9]. In particular, we clarified that the localization parameter should be chosen in accordance with the spatial dimension  $d$  instead of the polynomial degree  $N$  as in [20]. It remains to provide good conditions for the positivity of the constructed weights  $w_k$  for  $d \geq 5$  which are useful in connection with minimal norm interpolation problems when using e.g. Sobolev norms, see also [20, Theorem 2.7].

## Acknowledgments


The author thanks Daniel Potts and Jürgen Prestin for numerous enlightening discussions on the presented subject. Moreover, he thanks the referees for their suggestions.

## References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, editors. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C., 1972.
- [2] B. K. Alpert and V. Rokhlin. A fast algorithm for the evaluation of Legendre expansions. *SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput.*, 12:158 – 179, 1991.
- [3] K. Ball. Eigenvalues of Euclidean distance matrices. *J. Approx. Theory*, 68:74–82, 1992.
- [4] R. F. Bass and K. Gröchenig. Random sampling of multivariate trigonometric polynomials. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 36:773 – 795, 2004.



(a) Spatial dimension  $d = 3$ .



(b) Spatial dimension  $d = 4$ .

Figure 3.2: Condition number of the interpolation matrix  $\mathbf{Y}\hat{\mathbf{W}}\mathbf{Y}^H$  with respect to the polynomial degree  $N$  for a fixed sampling set (3.1) with  $m = 40$  for  $d = 3$  and  $m = 20$  for  $d = 4$ . The order of the B-spline kernel and hence the localization order is  $\beta = 1$  ( $\cdot$ ),  $\beta = 2$  ( $\times$ ),  $\beta = 3$  ( $\circ$ ), and  $\beta = 4$  ( $+$ ). Moreover, we plotted the estimate  $(1 + (\frac{C_d}{(N+1)q})^d)/(1 - (\frac{C_d}{(N+1)q})^d)$  (solid) and additionally the condition number for  $\beta = d$  (dashed).

- [5] J. R. Driscoll, D. Healy, and D. Rockmore. Fast discrete polynomial transforms with applications to data analysis for distance transitive graphs. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 26:1066 – 1099, 1996.
- [6] G. E. Fasshauer and L. L. Schumaker. Scattered data fitting on the sphere. In M. Dahlen, T. Lyche, and L. L. Schumaker, editors, *Mathematical Methods for Curves and Surfaces II*, pages 117 – 166, Nashville, 1998. Vanderbilt University Press.
- [7] F. Filbir and W. Themistoclakis. Polynomial approximation on the sphere using scattered data. *Math. Nachr.*, 2007, accepted.
- [8] W. Freeden, T. Gervens, and M. Schreiner. *Constructive Approximation on the Sphere*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [9] J. Keiner, S. Kunis, and D. Potts. Efficient reconstruction of functions on the sphere from scattered data. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 13:435 – 458, 2007.
- [10] A. B. J. Kuijlaars, E. B. Saff, and X. Sun. On separation of minimal Riesz energy points on spheres in euclidean spaces. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 199:172 – 180, 2007.
- [11] S. Kunis and D. Potts. Stability results for scattered data interpolation by trigonometric polynomials. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 29:1403 – 1419, 2007.
- [12] Q. T. Le Gia and H. N. Mhaskar. Quadrature formulas and localized linear polynomial operators on the sphere. *Preprint*, 2007.

- [13] J. Levesley, Z. Luo, and X. Sun. Norm estimates of interpolation matrices and their inverses associated with strictly positive definite functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127:2127 – 2134, 1999.
- [14] H. N. Mhaskar. Polynomial operators and local smoothness classes on the unit interval. *J. Approx. Theory*, 131:243 – 267, 2004.
- [15] H. N. Mhaskar, F. J. Narcowich, and J. D. Ward. Spherical Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities and positive quadrature. *Math. Comput.*, 70:1113 – 1130, 2001. Corrigendum on the positivity of the quadrature weights in 71:453 – 454, 2002.
- [16] H. N. Mhaskar and J. Prestin. On the detection of singularities of a periodic function. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 12:95 – 131, 2000.
- [17] H. N. Mhaskar and J. Prestin. Polynomial frames: a fast tour. In *Approximation theory XI: Gatlinburg 2004*, Mod. Methods Math., pages 287–318. Nashboro Press, Brentwood, TN, 2005.
- [18] C. Müller. *Spherical Harmonics*. Springer, Aachen, 1966.
- [19] F. J. Narcowich, P. Petrushev, and J. D. Ward. Localized tight frames on spheres. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 38:574 – 594, 2006.
- [20] F. J. Narcowich, N. Sivakumar, and J. D. Ward. Stability results for scattered-data interpolation on euclidean spheres. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 8:137 – 163, 1998.
- [21] F. J. Narcowich and J. D. Ward. Norms of inverses and condition numbers for matrices associated with scattered data. *J. Approx. Theory*, 64:69–94, 1991.
- [22] F. J. Narcowich and J. D. Ward. Scattered data interpolation on spheres: error estimates and locally supported basis functions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 33:1393–1410, 2002.
- [23] P. Petrushev and Y. Xu. Localized polynomial frames on the ball. *Constr. Approx.*, 27:121 – 148, 2008.
- [24] D. Potts, G. Steidl, and M. Tasche. Fast algorithms for discrete polynomial transforms. *Math. Comput.*, 67:1577 – 1590, 1998.
- [25] M. Reimer. *Multivariate Polynomial Approximation*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2003.
- [26] E. B. Saff and A. B. J. Kuijlaars. Distributing many points on a sphere. *Math. Intelligencer*, 19:5 – 11, 1997.
- [27] G. Szegő. *Orthogonal Polynomials*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 4th edition, 1975.
- [28] H. Wendland. *Scattered Data Approximation*. Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.