planning		monitoring		reflection		discursivity	
P1	indication of a focus of attention, in particular with regard to tools / methods to be used or (intermediate) results or representations to be achieved	M1	controlling of a subject-specific activ-ity	R1	analysis of structure of a subject- specific expression	D1	measures to improve the discussion / link a contribution
P1a	one step planning activity			R1a	without taking into consideration any additional rewriting or reorganization	D1a	naming of reference points or persons; asking for reference points or persons (in
P1b	several-steps planning activity or indication of an alternative approach			R1b	with an additional rewriting or reorganization of the given expression	D1b	particular to ensure the basis of conversation); indicating missing or wrong references setting one's own contribution apart from
						D1c	others or stating agreement with another repetition of statements said before as a basis
						D1d	for further reasoning or to assure oneself of things meant or written
						DIO	activities to improve (e.g. structuring) and facilitate the discourse
P2	planning metacognitive activities	M2	controlling of terminology / vocabulary used for a description / explanation of a concept	R2	reflection on concepts / analo- gies / metaphors	D2	education for discourse unfolding of / agreement on / asking for rules for the discourse;
				R2a	assignment of an object / an issue to a concept, classification of a concept into a concept hierarchy		adherence to the rules of the discourse; asserting that rules have not been agreed for the current discourse
				R2b	thinking about the adequacy of concept formation, subsumption, analogy or metaphor		the current discourse
		M3	controlling of notation / representation	R3	result of reflection expressed by a wilful use of a (subject-specific) representation	ND1	negative discursivity superfluous contributions
				R3a	identification / marking		
				R3b	creating a specific representation		
				R3c	like a or b, to promote understanding, to uncover a deficiency of misconceptions, or to initiate a process of abstraction or metacognition	ND1a	asking a self-answering question (asking a leading question)
		M4	controlling of the validity or adequacy of tools and methods used, in particular with regard to a planned approach or a modelling approach		analysis of the effectiveness and application of subject-specific tools or methods / indication of a tool needed to achieve an intended result	ND1b	repetition of things already said without adding a new point of view to the discourse (also "teacher echoing")
						ND1X	wilful disturbing the class
		M5	controlling of (consistency of an) argumentation / statement	R5	analysis of argumentation /reasoning with regard to content-specific or struc-	ND2	inadequate vocabulary (ina description, comment, argumentation, statement)
			in particular revealing mistakes or inconsistency in the argu- mentation / statement or conducting a stepwise or global		tural aspects	ND3	violence of rules for a well-orchestrated discourse
			control or controlling an alternative argumen- tation (that has not been presented yet)			ND3a	statements/ questions do not recognizable refer to the things occurred or said or the reference point is not explicit or the argumentation is fragmentary
		M6	controlling if the results meet the question	R6	reflection-based assessment or evaluation	ND3b	shortcomings with regard to grammar or the sentence structure, broken sentences; at first glance comprehensible sentences in which it is not clear what is meant
			in particular with regard to the goal of a task or question and the answer given to it (controlling the factual and the intended situation) or with regard the plausibility of the results achieved	R6a	evaluation with regard to the issue discussed / content / tasks / text, e.g. pointing out to the important aspects or ideas, drawing an (interim) balance, giving a summary, assessment of com- prehensibility/ difficulty	ND3c	introducing alternative statements or pro- posals without setting them off against others; pretending repetition or summary of a given contribution with an essential change in the meaning and without making this change "visible"
				R6b	evaluation with regard to a person, e.g. (one's own) strength, shortcomings, mistakes, misconception, general difficul- ties, (one's own) difficulties in under- standing	ND3d	uncommented change of the reference point / meaning of the issue discussed
		М7	revealing a misconception	R7	analysis of the interplay between representation and conception	ND3e	false logical structure of an argumentation
		M8	self-monitoring			ND4	no intervention taken against severe
		M8a	subject-specific calculation				disregard of discursivity rules, in particular when discourse falls into pieces;
		M8b	terminology, description, explanation of a concept				ignoring an objection
		M8c	notation	-			
		M8d	tools and methods, planned approach or a modelling approach				
		M8e	argumentation, statement	-			
		M8f	correspondence between results and questions				
		M8g	metacognitive activity				